

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS -P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/075,106	02/13/2002	John Robert Smith	H-204604	6949	
7590 05/06/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARY W. BROOKS			BAREFORD, K.	BAREFORD, KATHERINE A	
General Motor	s Corporation				
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300			1762		
Detroit, MI 4	8265-3000		DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/075,106	SMITH ET AL.				
Advisory Adden	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Katherine A. Bareford	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 6_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) Multiple they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: see attached pages.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached pages.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/075,106

Art Unit: 1762

Advisory Action

1. PLEASE NOTE THAT THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT OF APRIL 19, 2004
IS NON-COMPLIANT AND CANNOT BE ENTERED (even if an RCE, etc. was provided).

In claims 1, 2 and 10-14, improper status identifiers were used. Instead of (previously amended) – (previously presented) should be used. Instead of (previously added) – (previously presented) should be used. Instead of (previously canceled) – (canceled) should be used.

2. As to Box 2 of the Advisory Action Form:

The proposed amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search by the Examiner, because the new claims specifically exclude the presence of magnesium, which was allowed by the present claims, and new claim 16 would specifically limit the additives in the ferrous coating through the use of "consisting essentially of", which was not previously the case.

3. As to Box 5 of the Advisory Action Form:

As to claims 1-14, applicant argues that neither Rabiei nor Burns suggests that magnesium could be added to a ferrous based feed wire and thermally spray with the oversupply of oxygen as claimed.

However, the Examiner notes that Byrnes does indicate that magnesium can be added to the ferrous based wire – see column 2, lines 35-45 (especially line 41).

Application/Control Number: 10/075,106

Art Unit: 1762

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (571) 272-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(6:30-4:00) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1415. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Other inquiries can be directed to the Tech Center 1700 telephone number at (571) 272-1700.

Furthermore, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KATHERINE A. BARKFORD PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100 1700